

## Research Letter

### Quality and Accuracy of Atopic Dermatitis Treatment Content on TikTok: A Cross-Sectional Analysis

Nickoulet Babaei<sup>1</sup>, Mireya Cervantes<sup>2</sup>, Seanna Yang<sup>3</sup>, Minka Gill<sup>4</sup>, Dahyeon Kim<sup>1</sup>, Jashin J Wu<sup>5</sup>

1 Loma Linda University School of Medicine, Loma Linda, CA, USA

2 Albany Medical College, Albany, NY, USA

3 Tulane University School of Medicine, New Orleans, LA, USA

4 Indiana University School of Medicine, Indianapolis, IN, USA

5 Department of Dermatology, Miller School of Medicine, Miami, FL, USA

**Key words:** Atopic Dermatitis, Eczema, Social Media, Tiktok, Treatment

**Citation:** Babaei N, Cervantes M, Yang S, Kim D, Gill M, Wu JJ. Quality and Accuracy of Atopic Dermatitis Treatment Content on TikTok: A Cross-Sectional Analysis. *Dermatol Pract Concept*. 2026;16(2):6862. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.5826/dpc.1602a686>

**Accepted:** September 2, 2025; **Published:** April 2026

**Copyright:** ©2026 Babaei et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial License (BY-NC-4.0), <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>, which permits unrestricted noncommercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original authors and source are credited.

**Funding:** None.

**Competing interests:** Dr. Wu is or has been an investigator, consultant, or speaker for AbbVie, Almirall, Amgen, Arcutis, Aristeia Therapeutics, Bausch Health, Bayer, Boehringer Ingelheim, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Codex Labs, Dermavant, DermTech, Dr. Reddy's Laboratories, Eli Lilly, EPI Health, Galderma, Incyte, Janssen, LEO Pharma, Mindera, Novartis, Pfizer, Regeneron, Samsung Bioepis, Sanofi Genzyme, Solius, Sun Pharmaceutical, UCB, and Zerigo Health. Nickoulet Babaei, Dahyeon Kim, Mireya Cervantes, Seanna Yang, and Minka Gill report no conflicts of interest.

**Authorship:** All authors have contributed significantly to this publication.

**Corresponding author:** Jashin J Wu, M.D; University of Miami Miller School of Medicine; 1600 N.W. 10th Avenue, RMSB, Room 2023-A; Miami, Florida 33136. ORCID: 0000-0002-1722-1892. E-mail: [jashinwu@gmail.com](mailto:jashinwu@gmail.com)

## **Introduction**

Social media is a significant source of health information, with over 80% of US internet users searching online for health-related content [1]. TikTok, a platform for uploading videos, has gained popularity with 2 billion users [2]. Its algorithm-driven videos are popular among younger audiences, making it a powerful medium for shaping health perceptions and behaviors. Dermatologic content attracts substantial attention, with atopic dermatitis (AD) among the most viewed conditions [3]. However, limited data exist on the accuracy and quality of AD treatment information on TikTok. This study aims to provide an updated review of AD treatment information on TikTok, focusing on assessing quality of content and viewer engagement.

## **Methods**

In March 2025, we conducted a cross-sectional TikTok search using ‘#eczema’ and ‘#eczematreatment.’ The top 110 English-language treatment-related videos were analyzed; promotional content was excluded.

Engagement was calculated as (comments + likes + shares)/views. The DISCERN tool was used, to rate quality of content from 1 (serious shortcomings) to 5 (minimal shortcomings) [4]. Independent raters (N.B., M.C.) achieved strong inter-rater reliability ( $r = 0.71$ ,  $p < 0.001$ ). Independent t-tests compared DISCERN and engagement scores between physician and non-physician creators. Treatment recommendations were evaluated for adherence to American Academy of Dermatology guidelines [5].

## **Results**

The analyzed videos had 66,373,549 views, 2,302,762 likes, and 263,917 comments. 77.2% were created by non-physicians and 21.8% by physicians. An overview of video characteristics is shown in Table 1.

Physician videos demonstrated a higher mean DISCERN score ( $2.83 \pm 0.63$ ) than non-physician videos ( $2.59 \pm 0.54$ ), though not statistically significant ( $p=0.10$ ). Viewer engagement ratios were similar for videos created by physicians ( $0.040 \pm 0.047$ ) and non-physicians ( $0.042 \pm 0.074$ ).

Among the videos, 32% were classified as misleading while 68% aligned with AAD guidelines. Misinformation involved recommending non-evidence-based remedies like castor oil, beef tallow, and probiotics. Guideline-adherent videos emphasized moisturizers, topical corticosteroids, and barrier repair.

## **Discussion**

Most videos were anecdotal and produced by non-physicians. While personal stories can offer relatability, they risk spreading unverified or inaccurate advice. These videos received high levels of interaction, meaning entertainment value or personal relatability may outweigh credibility in influencing viewer behavior.

Average DISCERN scores were low across all videos, with most scoring 'low' or 'moderate,' indicating serious shortcomings [4]. Weaknesses included lack of credible sources, limited discussion of treatment risks/benefits, and little emphasis on individualized care or shared decision-making.

One limitation is the small number of physician creators, reducing statistical power. Moreover, the cross-sectional design offers only a snapshot, and TikTok's dynamic algorithm means video rankings vary across users and over time.

Despite these limitations, TikTok offers an opportunity to expand dermatologic education and bridge gaps between clinicians and the public, particularly younger and underserved groups. With active engagement, medical professionals can counter misinformation and provide accurate, engaging content that fosters health literacy.

## **Conclusion**

These findings underscore the need for greater physician engagement on social media. Future efforts should prioritize media training for clinicians and patient education initiatives to enhance the quality and credibility of dermatologic content online.

## **References**

1. Chen YY, Li CM, Liang JC, Tsai CC. Health Information Obtained From the Internet and Changes in Medical Decision Making: Questionnaire Development and Cross-Sectional Survey. *J Med Internet Res*. 2018;20(2):e47. Published 2018 Feb 12. doi:10.2196/jmir.9370.
2. Singh S. How many people use TikTok 2025 (Users Statistics). DemandSage. Accessed March 31, 2025. <https://www.demandsage.com/tiktok-user-statistics/>
3. Villa-Ruiz C, Kassamali B, Mazori DR, Min M, Cobos G, LaChance A. Overview of TikTok's most viewed dermatologic content and assessment of its reliability. *J Am Acad Dermatol*. 2021;85(1):273-274. doi:10.1016/j.jaad.2020.12.028.

4. Kaicker J, Borg Debono V, Dang W, Buckley N, Thabane L. Assessment of the quality and variability of health information on chronic pain websites using the DISCERN instrument. *BMC Med.* 2010;8:59. Published 2010 Oct 12. doi:10.1186/1741-7015-8-59
5. American Academy of Dermatology Association. Atopic dermatitis clinical guideline. Accessed April 8, 2025. <https://www.aad.org/member/clinical-quality/guidelines/atopic-dermatitis>

**Table 1.** Characteristics of top atopic dermatitis (AD) content on TikTok.

	No. of Videos (%)	Mean No. of Views	Mean No. of Likes	Mean No. of Comments	Mean Engagement Ratio	Viewer Ratio	Mean DISCERN
<b>Content Creator Type</b>							
Non-Physician	85 (77.2)	523,659	16,327	2,972	0.042 ± 0.074		2.59 ± 0.54
Physician	24 (21.8)	910,846	38,122	469	0.040 ± 0.047		2.83 ± 0.63
Private Company	1 (0.91)	2,275	55	4	0.026 ± N/A		1.92 ± N/A
<b>Physician Specialty</b>							
Dermatology	17 (70.8)	1,208,441	51,992	596	0.046 ± 0.055		2.73 ± 0.59
Pediatrics	2 (8.3)	229,950	6,187	349	0.031 ± 0.003		3.92 ± 0.30
Internal Medicine	3 (12.5)	128,100	4,481	91	0.003 ± 0.017		2.86 ± 0.62
Emergency Medicine	1 (4.17)	461,300	5,106	151	0.014 ± N/A		2.5 ± N/A
Family Medicine	1 (4.17)	11,300	154	3	0.016 ± N/A		2.67 ± N/A
<b>Gender</b>							
Female	101 (91.8)	568,503	18,410	2,525	0.041 ± 0.071		2.64 ± 0.52
Male	9 (8.12)	994,972	49,259	992	0.045 ± 0.019		2.61 ± 0.98